Fadely, Karen

From:

Donald Silawsky

Sent:

Saturday, December 24, 2005 8:12 AM

To:

Fadely, Karen

Subject:

FW: Department of Energy SPR - Dec. 19th Meeting

Attachments: SPR Expansion EIS -- agency meetings MS-DEQ v2.ppt

KAREN: Another SPR EIS comment, below.

DON SILAWSKY

----- Forwarded Message

From: Don R Neal

Date: Fri, 23 Dec 2005 13:49:51 -0500

To:

Subject: Re: Department of Energy SPR - Dec. 19th Meeting

Elizabeth,

We were not able to provide a representative for the Dec. 19th meeting due to scheduled annual leave this time of year. I have reviewed the package sent by Donald Silawsky and discussed this proposal with our Forest Minerals Specialist - Hunter Howell.

The proposed Bruinsburg site location is located well off Forest Service land. The proposed general pipeline alignment associated with this project crosses the Homochitto National Forest. The map is of such a scale that specific environmental/social concerns or impacts can not be addressed. If this proposal is selected, we would need to be involved with the planning of the site specific placement of the pipeline location. Some of the issues we need to address would be - impacts to T&E secies, impacts to water quality, impacts to wildlife, impacts to cultural resources, impacts to recreational visitors, impacts to existing special use permits and easements, etc..

The Raw Water Intake Structure on the proposed Richton site borders the northern boundary of the De Soto National Forest. The scale of the map makes it hard to determine if it actually lies on National Forest land. If any of the project lies on National Forest land we would need to coordinate with you on similar issues as mentioned on the Bruinsburg site.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment and the information you have provided. If you have any further site specific information on the location of the Bruinsburg pipelines or the Richton Raw Intake Structure please contact me.

Richard D. (Don) Neal



